

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**DATE MAILED: 06/08/2007** 

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,635	5 08/28/2003		Gregory J. Mesaros	GEDPIIIUSA	7726	
23623	7590	06/08/2007		EXAMINER		
		calvin, LLP	AMAY CAS IMED			
1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Application No. 10/650,635 Examiner William J. Allen Applicant(s) MESAROS, GREGORY J. Art Unit 3625

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 2/14/2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	See Continuation Sheet.
	·

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)**

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Continuation of 1: The brief does not disclose related case 10/351,069 as being a related appeal.

Continuation of 2: The status of the claims recites that "Claims 1,2,4-29 and 22-42 stand rejected by the Examiner" and should read as claims 1, 2, 4-20, and 34-42 stand rejected by the Examiner.

Continuation of 4: The brief fails to provide in the "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter" section a summary for each dependent claim argued. Applicant is advised to provide a summary for each claim argued or remove arguments for claims not summarized.

Continuation of 7: Applicant has failed to properly include the status of the claims (e.g. Claims 22-33 should be noted as "Withdrawn").

Continuation of 10: Applicant is reminded that a response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief, must include a complete new amended brief except:

- (i) if the brief is defective solely because of failure to name the real party of interest, a paper stating the real party of interest is sufficient; or
- (ii) if the brief is defective solely due to failure to provide a summary of the of claimed subject matter, a paper providing the summary will suffice. (See MPEP 1205.03.)

For more detailed guidance please refer to MPEP 1205.02-1205.03 or an Appeals Conference Specialist.

YOGENT C. STINER PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER

2